

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		<u> </u>			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/648,872	08/27/2003	David M. Avery	PHB 34,372A	4908	
	7590 03/27/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ZIMMERMAN, BRIAN A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2612			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MOI	3 MONTHS 03/27/2007 PAPER				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence		10/648,872		AVERY, DAVID M.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	•	Brian A. Zimmerm	nan 🥕	2612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS CO 36(a). In no event, however ill apply and will expire S cause the application to	MMUNICATION ver, may a reply be time to the time to th	. ely filed he mailing date of this comi ) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				• •			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Fe	ebruary 2007					
2a)⊠	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-fina	· I ·	•	•		
3)	, · · ·			secution as to the m	nerite is		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	·	A purito Quayro, 1	000 O.D. 11, 40	0 0.0. 210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims		ا منابعات الما				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 11-28 is/are pending in the application	)					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	•	tion.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		_	Sectinic			
			•	-			
_	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
٠,٥		Cicodon requirem		and the state of	<b>1</b>		
<b>Applicati</b>	on Papers	•	in the second				
9) 🗆 .	The specification is objected to by the Evaminer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	که د سمه را در از از ا				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. →							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			· · ·	1 121(4)		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		-		, ,		
11/	The dain of decidration is objected to by the Ex	arrimer. Note the	attached Office		-132.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			,			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents				·		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori			d in this National St	age		
	application from the International Bureau	•	••				
* 8	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified cop	pies not received	i.			
		•••		•			
	•	*					
Attachment	r(e)				•		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nterview Summary ( aper No(s)/Mail Dat	•			
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 N	lotice of Informal Pa				
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	· 6) 📙 C	Other:				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

#### **EXAMINER'S RESPONSE**

### **Status of Application**

In response to the applicant's amendment received on 2/9/07. The examiner has considered the new presentation of claims and applicant arguments in view of the disclosure and the present state of the prior art. And it is the examiner's position that claims 11-28 are unpatentable for the reasons set forth in this office action:

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

This application has been reassigned to a new examiner. Please address all future communications to the examiner listed at the bottom of the Office Action.

#### **Drawings**

The drawings were received on 2/9/07. These drawings are approved except for the following problem:

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the step of transmitting a wake-up message from the interrogation station to the remote units must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended

Application/Control Number: 10/648,872 Page 3

Art Unit: 2612

replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 11,12,16-20 and 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hughes (5920261).

Regarding claims 11,19,27 and 28 Hughes teaches a radio system comprising a plurality of spatially separate radio units 10, a central station 4 and an interrogation station (elements 6 and 8 combine to form an interrogation station). Hughes additionally shows a uniquely identifiable transponding station 14. The central station 4 transmits an enquiry signal to the interrogating station 6-8, which then passes on an enquiry signal including the transponder 14 for which location information is desired,

Michig

that were a section of the control o

see col. 6 lines 64+. The interrogation station 6-8 additionally rebroadcasts the enquiry signals to the transponder stations. The interrogation station then determines the location of the transponder based upon the signal strength of the reply message from the transponder 14, when the interrogation station and associated remote units 10 are activated.

Hughes discloses that the locating receiver 10 receives a wake-up signal and therefore has a sleep mode. Providing the locating receiver with a sleep mode prevents confusion in transmission receptions. See figure 12b and col. 11 lines 35-45. The computer sends a wake up signal to the interrogation station 6-8 which then passes on the wake up signal to the array of receiving stations 10. The difference between the claim and Hughes is that Hughes does not expressly state the manner in which the wake up signal is sent to the remote unit (locating receiver). Hughes does have a signal sent from the interrogation station 6-8 to wake up the remote unit 10 but does not expressly include a radio unit identification in the wake up message.

Hughes does teach wake up message to transponders 14 that include a wake up portion and an identification portion. See figure 7a and 7b. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used a similar wake up signal for waking up the remote unit 10 as the wake up signal for waking up the transponder 14.

Additionally, it is noted the applicant's disclosure paragraph 34 discusses the wake up procedure can be any suitable protocol. This is evidence of two things, 1) that the particular procedure to wake up the radio unit is not critical to the invention (see

Brown Commence and the second of the second

also in re Kuhle, 526 F.2d 553, 188 USPQ 7 (CCPA 1975)) and additionally 2) the particular procedure to wake up the radio is invented by the inventor of the WO-A-99/25051.

Specific discussion of claims 12,16-18,20 are set forth in the previous office action and are incorporated herein. It is noted that the applicant has not pointed out any deficiencies in the rejection of these claims.

2. Claims 13-15,21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hughes as applied to claims 11,12,19 and 20 above, and further in view of Shober (5952922).

Specific discussion of claims 13-15,21-23 are set forth in the previous office action and are incorporated herein. It is noted that the applicant has not pointed out any deficiencies in the rejection of these claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/9/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding all claims, the applicant argues that Hughes does not teach sending a wake up call to radio units that are communicating with the interrogation unit.

The applicant continues stating that the location-detecting receivers in Hughes do not require wake up signals. This is incorrect in that the radio units 10 do in fact

receive a wake up message, see col. 11 lines 40-45 and figure 12b. And the radio units do in fact receive these wake up messages from the interrogation stations 6-8.

Additionally, it is noted the applicant's disclosure paragraph 34 discusses the wake up procedure can be **any suitable protocol**. This is evidence of two things, 1) that the particular procedure to wake up the radio unit is not critical to the invention (see also in re Kuhle, 526 F.2d 553, 188 USPQ 7 (CCPA 1975)) and additionally 2) the particular procedure to wake up the radio is invented by the inventor of the WO-A-99/25051.

Additionally the "wherein" clauses of claims 11-18 provide a manner of operating the structural elements of the claims. As per MPEP 2114, the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus. Since the structure set forth in claim 11 is shown by the Hughes the operation of the structural elements does not differentiate the claimed apparatus. The applicant has not argued that the structure of claim 11 is not shown by Hughes:

Additionally the "for" clauses of claim 27 provides a manner of operating the structural elements of the claims. As per MPEP 2114, the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus. Since the structure set forth in claim 27 is shown by the Hughes the operation of the structural elements does not differentiate the claimed apparatus. The applicant has not argued that Hughes does not show the structure of claim 27.

and the same and the

en en galle et a van de la commentant de la description de la commencial d

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian A. Zimmerman whose telephone number is 571-272-3059. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 am to 4 pm E.S.T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Wendy Garber can be reached on 571-272-7308. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

and the same of th

The second of th

The state of the state of the state of the state of

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brian A Zimmerman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2612

BZ

正山()。

i Renazhareman